App.F.IX-4]

1925.

File No. 23-25

(Miscl.)

Serial Nos. 1-2

Previous References.
Misc 12-25-2
& 159-17-1-10.

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 2

Part B for November 1925

SUBJECT

Recommends that a sum of Rs 557 plus Rs 91 may be sanctioned for repairs to Sri Rama Swami temple, 3rd Road, Chamarajpet, Bangalore city

G.O. No. 652-3 Dated 26.11.25

To the Mis. Comr & Comptr

Cannot be sanctioned as it is not permissible under the Rules.

G. R. S., 11] 1925

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Inwz.)

NOTES.

File No. 23/1925

FILE HEADING.

Repairs of the Sri Rama Devara temple, 3rd Road, Chamarajpet, Bangalore.

From

The Inwzrai Comr

No. 1294 Dated 18-7-25 Received

Diary No.	Enclos	Spare Copies.	Serial No.
77	Title		

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Recommends that a State grant of ~~Rs~~ 650/- may be sanctioned for the above work for which the Services have come ~~to~~ forward. with a contribution of Rs 650/-.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

JG D | In G.O no. 1130-1 dt 19-3-1913, an estimate for Rs 881 was sanctioned for repairs to the Alsur magdi in the Chickmagalur Taluk, the ~~cost~~ and forwards the cost of the estimate,

NOTES—First Sheet.

Serial No.

a State Grant of Rs 559 was Sanctioned - As the Mahomedans did not act up to the conditions stipulated, it is now proposed to cancel this estimate, withdraw the State Grant of Rs 559 (Then Sanctioned) and add it on to the current year's Grant for being utilized with a Small contribution of Rs 91 from the current year's grant for the Repairs to Sri Rama Devara temple. Re the State grant of Rs 559 Sanctioned in 1913, there is no clear information as to whether this amount has lapsed to Govt or has been drawn from the State Grant and credited to Mysore Funds

Submitted
7.8.25

WD 0561-GPB—8750—1 8 22

NOTE—Contn. sheet

in the G's Sanct[n] the Estimate for Rs[i] for the [illegible]

Adam Masjid / GO No 1130-1
Mag 189-0-10

By (9. 3. 13, over 12 years ago), the Committee was requested to credit the State grant Contribution of Rs 559 to the Masjid.. and he should have done so.

The M.C. now recommends that this State grant contribution may for reasons given in her letter be withheld from the Masjid and given for the repairs of the Sri Ramadevan temple at Chunnajpet, together with a small Contribution of 91 Rs from the current year's State grant.

[illegible]

REVENUE
-7 AUG. 1925
SECRETARY.

380-
12 8

The Hon'ble Minister for Law, Excise and Commerce is requested to kindly move the Council for sanction to the proposal contained in the above Memorandum.

uzrai
ariat

Muz. File No. 23 of 1925.

Serial No.

Re Muz cmi proposal to have the
so withdrawn added on the current year
State grants. for being asked for the cmte
~~Council~~ the ~~Fin~~ Fin Secy may be
consulted as to whether this is in order
& /- Sd. Dregs and Secy's note
put up submitted for approval

Je 3.8.7/25

Financial Secretary

Je Smy

17/8/25

The complete story be needed of the Fines Sh. Sec
from actually how & why the whole
story of the case came up to the expenditure
now incurred must be given before
sanction can be made

It is to withdraw amount from note be
used for increasing current grants or
they must be kept separate in the
Budget with independent & their credits and
be reserved for carry forward and reappro-
be incurred in the ensuing year
grant of the withdrawal is to be the
same as the original grant
or the grant must be kept
Can the increase in grants
is taken on the expenditure side
part credit to a reduction,
the

WD 0561—GPB—3750—1 8 22

NOTE—Contn. sheet

C 1387/01

Committee – If there is agreeable to + and – entries under the expenditure head of "Construction and repairs" Class 4 Vote 6 to sign orders as proposed.

POST OFFICE
REGD SEP 1925
23/4/25
24/8

28 8 25

8/9/25 1st Member)

The contractor may be written to as suggested by the Treasury

29/9

Mr Scott

The whole file may be sent to the H.M. Comptroller unofficially for perusal of the financial aspects note and favour of his remarks thereon.

BA 9.9.25

10.9.25

COMPTROLLER & AUDITOR GENERAL
22 SEP 1925
BUILDINGS

Sec-Treas)

Forwarded with compliments.

20/9/25

21.9.25

No. 627/3RD-(B) 5/2-10-25 6

G. R. S. III. 2]

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. U. O. No 23 of 192 22-9-25 1925

Serial No.

U.O.No. 74 5/10/1925

In accordance with Government Order No.2008-17/ Muz.F.105-92 dated 7th June 1902 (Vide note to Art. 452 of the Mysore Account Code Vol.I) the grant referred to was adjusted in the accounts for March 1913 by credit to the funds of the Institution and the amount is included in the funds of the Minor Mahomedan Institutions of the Chickamagalur taluk.

If the grant is withdrawn as proposed, the amount should be credited to Government as a receipt under XIII Miscellaneous. It should not be taken as a reduction of expenditure for the current year or treated as an additional grant.

T. Satyanarayana Rao
Comptroller.

Recd 7.10.1925.

The Muz. Commr and the Compt. may perhaps be asked to withdraw the amt of Rs 559 from the funds of the Idgah Masjid and credit it to Govt as a receipt under XIII Misc: as stated by the Comptroller & fresh estimate will have to be prepared for the Channarayapatna temple, and money given from the State grant allotment as Govt: Examiner of the Govt Muz Fd in case the Inst: deserves this help from Govt — 7 as the Trustees of the

NOTE—Contn sheet

WD 0561-GPB—9750—1 8.22

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 23 of 19 25

Serial No.

temple have come forward with a liberal contribution of R. 650, the Mar Govt may perhaps be authorised to sanction a like sum from the State Grant allotment of the current year.

RL
7.10.25

Rev-Sec
12

10/10/25

Please put up a draft order giving effect to the proposals of the Mar Govt in the light of the remarks A+B of Secy & Comptr.
The L.C.'s sanction is necy for the advance.

L
15/10
17/10.25

13) Dy Secretary
A
16/10.25
13
Typed draft put up.
RL
27.10.25

14) Sir order as desired
29.10.25

15) Secretary
AL
30.10.25
RL
30/10/25

NOTE—Contn. sheet

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 23 of 1925.

Serial No.

14 Draft Appl
2.11.25.
Rev. Secy)
Revised statement
1st number
4.11.25.

89/4 11

1) Cannot the grant be treated as a transfer from the accn. of Rs. required to meet the Chamrajpet temple, so that it may not be necessary to go before the Legislative Council for the statement framed in respect thereof?
6/11.
6.11.25.

2) The only way in which we can avoid going before the Legislative Council is to sanction Rs 660/- for the expenses of the Chamarajpet temple from the current grant of Rs. 10,000 and to allow the excess of Rs. 559 with-drawn from the Accd. required to take effect. If this is approved, item 3 of the Dept's

This cannot be supported in the original form

NOTE—Contn sheet

office

Revenue Secretariat

File No. 27 of 1925.

Serial No

Order may be drawn, and para which becomes para 3 modified as follows:—

"As recommended by the Mug Commr, a sum of Rs. 650 is sanctd for the urgent repairs of the Sri Ramadevan temple at Chamarajpet, Bangalore, ~~for which the Mysore Govt requests to take further action to sanction the necessary estimates, restricted to Rs. 5000 sanction~~ nominal".

~~"accordingly".~~

to see the Com yesterday's Statement for the Finance Dept

The Mysore Govt is accordingly requested to sanction estimate not to exceed Rs. 5000/-.

4) If the above suggestion is approved, a draft will be submitted for approval

Sd
7/11/25

11.11.25.

Recd

Secy) In terms of remarks with reference to members minute of 5.11.25

12/11/25

12.11.25.

I suggested a method of avoiding a ref to L.C. regarding this small amt. The complete text from Govt and this is a matter in which the Govt view has to be accepted If the req'd amt can be spared from the grant for Construction Repairs no ref to L.C. is necessary. If however this Course is not feasible we have to ask the L.C. to vote an additional grant against the credit for the withdrawn amt.

Mysore 16.11.25

Fe 1211/25
13. NOV. 1925

When the balances are available from the Block Grant.

17.11.25.

Note—Caution Sheet

App. F. III—2]

File No. of 192

General
S

Serial
No.

NOTE—Contu Sheet

Serial No

Dft Submitted
A.V.
17.11.25.

18/11/25

The quarterly Nat'l & Mah grant contribution paid by the Municipality up to ~~end of~~ quarter ending September 1925 shows that a sum of Rs. 164-11-6 was paid leaving a sum of Rs. 8836 nearly as balance to be utilised for repairs of Mun: Bldgs. It is possible that the M.C. Surgeon may have met some of the charges from the Stat. grant allotment since September 25. Hence the Dept may enquire of M.C.

SB
19.11.25

21) In any case, there is sure to be a balance sufficient to cover the Estimate in this case viz Rs 650. Paras of the Draft may be omitted, and para 4 modified as in para 16 above.

Sec-Secy
19.11.25.

1st member
20/11
21.11.25.

553/21.11

22) I have no objection to the Secretary's proposal as set forth in para 21 above.

21/11

Note—Contn Sheet

App. F. III—2]

WD 0569—GPB—40,000—31-7.

File No. of 192 .

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.	

File No. 23 of 1925

Serial No

588/23.11

23) Dropt revenue apps

Sc
23/11 h
24) Gona 24.11.25

2 G.O. No. 652-3 dated 24.11.25 to the Mysore Commissioner and the Comptroller to Govt. —

State that the grant proposed to be made to the temple has to be met from the budget provision of Rs 10,000/- made under State grant for Emigrai Institutions —

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

23-24

Dated Bangalore, 15th J u l y 1925.

2 Copies
1 file

From

C.S.Balasundaram Iyer, Esq., B.A.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

REV. SECRETT. 6 JUL 1925 D. SECTION.

GEN. DEPT. SECRET. 17 JUL 1925 MUZRAI

Dn. 77

Muz 23-25-1

To

The Secretary to the Government

of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Revenue Department.

Sir,

Adverting to Government Order No.1130-1/Muz.159-06 dated 19th March 1913 sanctioning an estimate for Rs.381/- for repairing the masjid at Aldur in the Chikmagalur Taluk (for which a sum of Rs.689/ was paid from State grant for 1912-1913 and Rs.322 was contributed by the devotees). I have the honor to state that the Deputy Commissioner Kadur reported in his letter No.G.C.704 of 16-17 dated 23rd May 1924 (copy enclosed) that the Mohammedans were not willing to have an inscription stone slab fixed into the wall facing the road as directed in the last paragraph of the Government Order and recommended the withdrawal of the State grant and the refund of the contribution paid by the devotees.

2. The Deputy Commissioner who was requested to enquire and report if the Mohammedans were agreeable to have the rest of the repairs carried out without opening a gate way to the main road, states in his letter No.G.C.704 of 16-17 dated 19th May 1925 (copy enclosed) that they are not willing to have any repairs on a large scale and that as the building is in a dilapidated condition they intend effecting only petty urgent repairs.

3. In these circumstances, I beg to recommend that the estimate may be cancelled and the state grant of Rs.659/- may be withdrawn. The amount of Rs.322/- said to have been contributed by the devotees and which is now at the credit of the institution will be utilized towards the urgent and petty repairs for which an estimate will be

be prepared.

Ap

4. With regard to the released amount of Rs.[illegible] [illegible] above I request that this sum may be kindly allowed to be [illegible] to the current year's State grant for being utilised with [illegible] to transfer of Rs.[illegible]/- from the current year's grant for the [illegible] Kandaswamy temple and Road Chamarajapet, Bangalore [illegible] for which the papers have been forwarded with a liberal [illegible] to transfer of Rs.[illegible].

The return of early orders is solicited. The enclosures [illegible] with the request form in connection with the letter are returned for [illegible].

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

[illegible]

Executive Engineer.

May 1925 from the Deputy Commiss r,
ommissioner in Mysore.

With reference to your letter No.C.1294 of 23-24 dated the 10th June 1924, enquiring whether the Mohammedans of Aldur are agreeable to the --- remaining portion of the repairs to the Aldur Masjid being carried out --- without opening a new gate to the main road etc., I have the honor to state that the Amildar of the Chikmagalur Taluk has reported that the Mahommedans are not agreeable to it and as the Masjid was in a very dilapidated --- condition they intend effecting only small repairs. The Amildar has further reported that the amount of State grant sanctioned may be withdrawn and the amount of Rs.322-8-0 contributed by the devotees may be refunded to them.

2. In these circumstances, I request that the sum of Rs.558-8-0 being the State Grant paid may be withdrawn and the balance of Rs.322-8-0 --- contributed by the devotees which is credited to the funds of the institution on 15th July 1908 may kindly be ordered to be refunded to the persons who contributed the amount.

3. The file of vernacular papers ending with Chikmagalur Taluk Amildar's

No.

No.A.[illegible].5, 23-24 dated 17th April 1925, to [illegible]

COPY

Name [illegible]

[illegible]

A Mental Deficiency.

Letter No.C.C.704/16-17 dated 23rd May 1924 from the Deputy Commissioner, Kadur District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

"Adverting to Government order No.1130-1/Muz.F.159-06 dated 14th March 1913 sanctioning an estimate for Rs.881/- on account of improvements to the Masjid at Aldur in the Chikmagalur Taluk, I have the honor to submit herewith the entire file of vernacular correspondence ending with the Chikmagalur Taluk sub Division Officer's Yadi No.S.C.C.558/23-24 dated 29th April 1924, and to state as follows:--

2. It is seen from the records that the Mahomedans at the place are not willing to conform to the directions of Government in the matter of fixing a stone slab into the wall of the masjid facing the road, with a suitable inscription that they will have no objection to Hindu procession passing along the road without stoppage of music.

3. As regards the contribution of Rs.332-8-0, it is stated that the amount was not contributed by the mahomedan inhabitants of the place, but it was paid out of the funds of the institution itself, and that the amount may remain at the credit of the institution if the improvements to the masjid

are not executed by Government.

4. In the circumstances, the State grant will have be withdrawn and the estimate cancelled."

"C o p y"

for Muzrai Commissioner.

KSR.

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read:-

Letter No. 1994/10 3-94 dated 1-7-1[illegible] from the Muz. Comm. recommending that the amount of Rs. 50 sanctioned in G.O.No. 1130-1/[illegible] 10-6-10 dated 10-5-1913 and paid from the State funds for the purposes of the Mysore Aldermanic Election Petition be recovered from the candidates in the following proportions from the amount due by each of the Petitioners and the balance from the State Funds as the Petitioners have not deposited the amount in full as required by the Rules framed for the purpose, viz., Mr. Rao, C[illegible], Bangalore City

---

Order No. [illegible] dated 9th January 1914.

READ G.O.No. 1130-1 F & [illegible] dated 10-6-1913, ordering the payment of Rs. 901-[illegible] incurred for expenses in connection with the Mysore Aldermanic Election Petition No. 1 of 1913, the balance being contributed by the petitioners. The estimate was not sufficient and the Muz. Comm. has now reported that the following amounts have been realised from the petitioners by the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore, and that the balance of Rs. 50 sanctioned in the above order may be recovered, and that the amount realised, Rs. [illegible] may be credited to the current year's Miscellaneous Receipts, Bangalore City, which is expected to exhaust Rs. [illegible]

2. In the circumstances stated, Government are pleased to cancel the estimate for Rs. 881/- sanctioned in the G.O. of 19th March 1913 and to direct that the State Grant of Rs. 650/- ~~sanctioned~~ be withdrawn from the funds of the Alagar Mosjid and credited to Government under XIII Miscellaneous.

3. As soon as this credit, an additional demand for Rs. 650 will be placed before the Legislature Council at its next session for sanction of the provision in the current revised Budget for the construction and maintenance of Muz. Institutions.

4. The question of ~~the~~ ~~Muz. Commissioner~~ claim of Rs. 650 - is sanctioned for the improvement fund of the Sri Ramadevaru temple for which the Muz Commissioner is requested to send up estimates.

Revenue Secretary.

3 - The proposal of the ~~Board~~ to add the above amount ~~cannot be added~~ to the current year State grant for Muz work cannot be sanctioned as it is not permissible under the Rules. ~~The Muz Commissioner's~~ the grant of Rs 650 proposed to be made to Sri Ramadevaru temple was brought to the notice from the Budget provision of ~~Rs~~ Rs 10,000 made under State grants for Muz institutions. The Muz Commissioner may deal with the case according to rules.